

U.S. Army Fort Monmouth
Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)
Meeting Minutes
March 3rd, 2016

The RAB meeting was held at Building 455 at Fort Monmouth, Oceanport Avenue, Oceanport, New Jersey.

At 7:00 p.m. the meeting was called to order by James Allen (Chairman). Mr. Allen led the meeting members in the Pledge of Allegiance.

RAB Members Present:

James Allen, Chairman
William Colvin, BRAC Environmental Coordinator
Linda Range, NJDEP Case Manager
Frank Barricelli, Oceanport Representative
Brian Charnick, Eatontown Representative
Richard Gruskos, Oceanport Representative
Joel Grimm, Monmouth County Health Department

Comments on old business:

Discussion and approval of meeting minutes:

- April 2nd, 2015

Mr. Allen requests suggestions from the board on how to proceed with the approval of the meeting minutes since a quorum is needed to vote.

Mr. Allen: We do not have a quorum. I am looking for suggestions with regard to the passage of the minutes, as we cannot keep them out there in perpetuity. What do we do?

Mr. Charnick: I will take an action of finding out who's on the committee.

Mr. Allen: I do not think it is appropriate, in addition to the Robert's Rules, to have these hanging since April. So I would like to clean that up somehow.

Mr. Charnick: We will try to get a quorum here.

Mr. Mahedy: Well the public has been waiting a year for it. And it's very important for us to get it, because there's really important information on that. And one is the hacking of the computers.

Mr. Allen: As Mr. Mahedy points out, the public wants their hard copy. And at this point in time, we're not able to release it to them, because it has not been approved.

Mr. Barricelli: Let's not make this too difficult. We will just have an agreement that the draft minutes are available for public review

Mr. Barricelli: I do not think we need a motion. You can do that as the chair.

Mr. Allen: As the chair, I would recommend that the current minutes that have been circulating unapproved by the full board under a quorum be circulated to the public. There is no proof of accuracy other than the board has read them, and they feel that the public is welcome to see them. Does anyone object to that comment? Not necessarily a motion.

Mr. Mahedy: Yes. The public has been waiting for one year for these minutes and there are some really serious things happening.

Mr. Allen: All right. So does anyone object? I am going to use the phrase "so moved."

Mr. Allen called forward Mr. Occhipinti, Army's Fort Monmouth Site Manager who had a message of thanks and appreciation for the RAB members through the distribution of certificates of appreciation.

Mr. Colvin formally introduces himself as the BRAC Environmental Coordinator for Fort Monmouth (FTMM). Mr. Colvin provided a summary of requested topics including Army and NJDEP Coordination, Summary of No Further Action (NFA) determinations, Landfill Status and Compliance Program overview of which the latter two were discussed in Parsons presentation.

Mr. Colvin: Provided a short briefing on the following items:

- The coordination between NJDEP and the Army since the board's meeting last April. In addition to the day-to-day actions the Army (and its contractors) has with the NJDEP, a monthly telephone conference call is held to discuss the status of the activities and actions that both are undertaking.
- The compliance program is performed to insure that current operations at the Fort are in full compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.
 - To insure the water in the distribution system is safe to drink, monthly, quarterly, semiannually and annual sampling, analyses and reporting are performed. The results are submitted to NJDEP Bureau of Safe Drinking Water throughout the year. In addition, once a year the Army prepares a Consumer Confidence Report for the drinking water. The report documents the quality of the water delivered to the Fort Monmouth community. The next report will be distributed in July.
 - For the Clean Water Act, the Army conducts quarterly storm water inspections, renews titles and licenses, and provides annual submittals to the State. And as part of the Clean Water Act compliance, the Army has a spill prevention control and countermeasure plan and performs weekly inspections of aboveground storage tanks and transformer substations. Inspections of secondary containment areas are also conducted after rain events.
 - The Army's Clean Air Act Title 5 permit requires the Army to maintain air emission logs on a monthly basis and conduct annual air emission inventories. Our permit also requires that the Army submit status reports to the state every six months, and a compliance certification report annually.
 - For the Resource Conservation Recovery Act, the Army is required to perform weekly inspections of storage areas and management of waste in accordance with

regulated processes. In February 2015, the Army submitted an annual Community Right-To-Know report to the emergency respondents of Oceanport and Eatontown, the Monmouth County Health Department, and the New Jersey State Police. The report listed quantities and locations of materials that are reportable for the responding organizations to use in preparing their response plans.

- The Army is also managing other environmental programs to insure compliance with the Toxic Substances Control Act, reporting requirements for asbestos and abatement projects, and emergency planning with the local authorities.

Questions:

- Mr. Charnick: Of the documents and reports you mentioned, which ones are public, if any?
- Mr. Colvin: All the final work plans and reports are public documents. I do not know, once we submit the report to the state, if that is available to you.

Presentation on the Status Overview Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Decision Documents for Fort Monmouth – Parsons: Cris Grill

Hard copies of the presentation were available to the public at the meeting.

Supplementation Discussion and Questions on Presentation

Mr. Moore: I wanted to make sure that everyone was aware that in certain cases these landfills can be used and be incorporated as part of the redevelopment of the Fort. So just because there's going to be a two-foot cap, that could very easily be a two-foot cap with a parking lot or a basketball court over the top of it. It could be whatever supports redevelopment activities and best enhances the value of the Fort or the communities.

Mr. Moore: It is made of certified clean fill. The Army will provide documentation to the State that it is clean fill. And then the Army will perform testing per volume of material to prove it's certified clean fill. Final inspection will be done by Corps of Engineers. The Army will also give the NJDEP Department of Solid Waste an opportunity to do a site walk and comment on.

Mr. Allen: In the future, when the property changes its ownership, they would have that warranty in hand if they should have to fall back on it?

Mr. Moore: Potentially.

Questions:

- Mr. Gruskos: One of the things that Wanda had mentioned was that she was reexamining for the BRAC all the tanks that had been previously removed, right? Are these ones that have been removed some time in the past, or are these suspected underground heating oil tanks that are still believed to be there?
- Ms. Grill: These tanks have been removed and the Army is documenting the removal conditions, submitting this information to the NJDEP, and requested a NFA.
- Mr. Gruskos: So these are all ones that were done during the time that RAB was running or involved right?
- Ms. Grill: Correct.

- Mr. Gruskos: It is not something where these are previously unknown tanks, these are all the ones that have been systematically removed?
- Ms. Grill: Correct.
- Mr. Barricelli: On the landfill capping. I see a lot of coordination is going to be on the approval plans goes back and forth to the NJDEP. How about the towns? The three towns where FTMM exists? What kind of coordination approvals will be done with the town so they know what's going on and don't get surprised?
- Mr. Moore: It is our plan to work with the towns to provide public notification about the type of work that will get done, make public notification about work hours and when work hours will be done, rough schedules about which landfills will be done in which sequence and which time, and also we're coordinating those activities with the Fort Monmouth Economic Reuse Authority (FMERA). So they will be given proper notification. We also envision that a community relations outreach effort will be required within a certain proximity of where all that work will be involved. So if there is a resident nearby, or within a certain distance, they will get a paper fax sheet indicating an activity is being performed, and a contact person to call should they have questions.
- Mr. Barricelli: Do we have any forecast of time frame? I mean, gross terms. Are we looking at one, two, or three years? Because I know you have to get money, you have to get the claims approved. Do we have any forecast?
- Mr. Moore: I can give you rough forecasts. I cannot comment about how long things take to review or comment on it, but I can give you in a rough timeline when things will happen. Right now, it's 2016. I envision within a two-year time period, we will have received comments from the NJDEP on our proposed action, we will have had an opportunity to go out to the public and provide the public an opportunity to comment as required by law under the proposed plan (PP) phase of the process. After that PP plan phase of the process, we will formalize everything in a Record of Decision (ROD), and we will work with the NJDEP on getting approved. And then according to the process, after that is approved, then we can go on to construction. So the construction of the landfills will happen roughly beginning sometime in 2018 and be completed in 2019.
- Mr. Gruskos: As far as the need for ongoing sampling, can you address that a little bit? Assuming that this property changes hands, who is going to be responsible for any deed restriction samplings and so on moving forward?
- Mr. Moore: The Army is obligated to take actions at FTMM to determine the nature and extent of contamination in 18 locations; and specific parcels where we have identified potential for contamination that needs to be addressed, evaluated, and then those plans need to be given to the NJDEP to review and comment on. It is an ongoing process and we have a long laundry list of sites to do.
- Mr. Charnick: There seems to be additional data collection requirements, apparently new volatile organic compound issues. Can you summarize, are any of these sort of new things that happened since our last meeting, or just as a result of ongoing monitoring?
- Ms. Grill: No. We had to clarify some of the comments and finally got out work plans approved by the NJDEP. We went out and collected additional data to fill in the data gaps.

Round Table Discussion - Mr. Allen

Mr. Barricelli: Well, NJDEP has been very kind in sending me copies of their correspondence to FTMM, and I just wanted to let you know that I have read and gone through all of them as I have received them, and I am very happy with the depth of comments that NJDEP is providing FTMM. It is not a wave of the hand, they are not checking spelling and that type of nonsense, they are in-depth comments. RAB members, if you want a copy of which NJDEP has sent me I would probably scan them and email them to you, because they're pretty thick.

Mr. Allen: Did you say email them?

Mr. Barricelli: Yeah. I can just scan them in my computer and send them out to any member who wants them as far as an email. Is that okay with NJDEP?

Ms. Range: Yes.

Mr. Barricelli: And if you want a copy, just let me know, and I can send them in an email to any member.

Mr. Gruskos: I just have a question, because they are long. If there are certain ones you feel are worth doing a short abstract on, the committee could make a decision whether or not they wish to read them. Is that a fair way of saying it, Frank?

Mr. Barricelli: Yeah.

Mr. Gruskos: Like a little quick executive summary and an abstract.

Mr. Barricelli: Okay. I will take an action to go through these that I have been provided and do just that and send it to the RAB. I will try to do that very quickly. But just to let you know I will identify which ones appear to have some substance and those which you may be interested in. Mr. Charnick: Mr. Colvin, I don't know if you're familiar with that What Mr. Mahedy mentioned about the hacking issue at Picatinny.

Mr. Colvin: Yes, I am.

Mr. Charnick: Can you update the board as to whether there was any loss of data of anything that this board would be interested in or impacted by the hacking event?

Mr. Colvin: It is my understanding that there was no loss of data that's affected the programs as they are going forward now. The programs that we heard about in the presentations this evening (the landfills, the heating oil tanks), we have the data that we had generated from the past and whatever happened at Picatinny has not affected what we're doing here.

Mr. Charnick: So you have original data, hard copies of everything.

Mr. Colvin: We have data that was even restored from Hurricane Sandy that had to be sent out to people to reconstruct paper documents and put it on electronic format. We have it locally; we do not keep it stored out at Picatinny.

Mr. Charnick: Charles Wood Area "Hexagon". I am familiar with several auctions that have happened there. But I understand that there is really nothing left inside. None of the wiring or copper and everything got ripped out. There is no way to heat the building or do anything there,

it is just disintegrating with asbestos in it and things like that. Is that of any concern to anybody that you cannot turn on the lights, there is no heat; plumbing pipes were ripped out, and copper sold?

Mr. Colvin: It is my understanding there are no plans to save that building. I thought this was going to be demolished by the new owners or whoever the property reverts to.

Mr. Gruskos: Just one want point, Brian, I would like to make is that FMERA is really kind of like the redevelopment authority on that, and some of those questions are best answered by their committee meetings, open public committee meetings where you could ask a question like that. And they do a pretty good job of sending around a monthly newsletter.

Mr. Gruskos: We have not heard much from Linda today. Just the process as you see it from NJDEP's point of view going through the plans, reports and so on. It seems like quite a bit has been done. Do you have any comments on just the process itself, how it is moving along, any problem areas you feel worth mentioning?

Ms. Range: There is a lot coming in. I have had my cube piled high many times, as Cris can attest to how many reports have been coming in. There is a lot that has been accomplished, and the landfills right now are the big push. They are currently undergoing review. As you saw, we got seven landfill reports in and once we get them completely reviewed, we will have an idea as to whether or not additional needs to be done with the landfill investigations, or if the next phrase is ready to start. There are no real problem areas and no surprises that we have come across. For instance, M-68, there was no surprise out there. It was just like Cris said, filling in the gaps. There are just a lot of little data gaps that we are going to get tied up. And I think a lot of the sampling has been done. There is more scheduled, but it is moving along. It is really coming along nicely.

Mr. Gruskos: The follow-up question maybe with the Army requesting NFA letters. Do they all seem to have merit when they're going through the review process, or is there any anticipation it is going to go back and forth?

Ms. Range: No, you are always going to have some instances where a report will come in and I will say you still have to do A, B, or C. But there is not been any instances where we are really far apart.

Discussion on Posting the Draft Meeting Minutes on the Fort Monmouth Website

Mr. Colvin: We have a website for FTMM and we can post the draft minutes as we used to post the final minutes and then the public will have access to them, and we would be done with that action.

Mr. Allen: I do not have a problem with it for one.

Mr. Barricelli: They say draft.

Mr. Colvin: This is what you will see on the website.

Mr. Gruskos: Right. I think that is appropriate.

Mr. Charnick: Yeah, I agree.

Mr. Colvin indicated he would follow up with Tim Rider on public access for Fort Monmouth website.

Public Comments/Questions

Mr. Mahedy: So I hope that these draft minutes that come out does mention that the website was hacked into, because when I bring it up to FMERA, they laughed at me and said, it did not happen. So I do not know why there is not better communication going on between the Army and FMERA where they did not know about it. I am going to ask a new question. The first one would be: Who had access to the website, and who has access to the website now? Who is in charge of the investigation?

Mr. Allen: What investigation? Be specific, please.

Mr. Mahedy: Of the hacking of the website. It is actually a felony. It is a very, very serious charge.

Mr. Allen: That is not the role of the RAB committee. We do not investigate felonies.

Mr. Mahedy: Well, it was your website.

Mr. Allen: I think that is up to the police. Could you move on to another subject?

Mr. Mahedy: Well, I would like to know. It is a very important thing, because we lost a huge amount of information of the toxic sites on base. So the first thing in this investigation: Who would benefit from this and who would get hurt from it? The people who will be on the base soon would be hurt by it. I live down in Wall Township where there is still a lot of toxic waste on the base there.

And there was a boy who was in high school who died after he was playing on a mound of dirt in Fort Adams. I do not want the same thing to happen here, so I think it is really important to know who now has access to the website. Because even over the last month, there was some things that were taken down from it, so I would like to know who actually now is in charge of the website, and who was in charge of the website when this happened.

As I said, it is a very serious charge; it is a felony. And because it destroys the evidence of any poisoning here on the base and even when we are drinking bottled water here. Why is that? Because a lot of the piping is still cracked and has had contamination. So those are very important things to know for the public.

Right now, as I said, the website is not working. You click on the things; it does not come up. It only goes up to 2008, and I would challenge Mr. Colvin when he said nothing was lost, because that is not what Wanda said when she was leaving. She said, we are going to do our best.

Next: As far as caretaking, I see it more as not caring than caretaking, because I do not agree with what was presented since I do not think the Army has done all that they can. And if we look around the country and around the world, a lot of times they leave a lot of contamination behind.

I know that there is a lot of pressure for the NJDEP to go along and pass things. I am very concerned specifically about a few things. One is that when the Myer's building comes down, there is going to be a huge amount of contaminated dust. I do not want what happened with Christie Whitman, who was the head of the EPA when she approved and said everything was fine after 911 and the first responders died because of all the dust in their lungs.

We have Trinity School for girls that are less than a quarter mile from the Myer's Center. How are you going to stop any dust from coming that way? I am very concerned for their safety, because this dust is very hard to stop. And you cannot even always see it, but it will lodge into the lungs. So I'm very concerned about that.

I am concerned about the gas station, which Monmouth County just said they are going to buy, but they said it is not totally cleaned up. But that is contaminated water. So I am very concerned about that. I asked Lillian Berry, she said, the county does not buy contaminated property. Well, that is contaminated property, because when I was on the environmental committee, we talked about it a lot. So I am very concerned about that as well and how are we going to protect the children from that?

And when I was on the environmental committee, they said, too, they can use that water outside for their landscaping, but not to drink it. I was very clear about that.

In the library where I was told by Wanda to go get the information: I went to the library, and it does not have the information that was on the website. So we are told to go to the library that has backup; it does not have it. It is not there. And I have been there many times over the years.

Bill Simons was the County Health Department Representative. I do not know if there is a person now who is representing Monmouth County? He said early on that contamination was coming from the Myer's Center to Wampum Pond. I know that there are some people here that do not agree with that, but I think that should be looked at again.

Mr. Allen: Mr. Mahedy --

Mr. Mahedy: Yes.

Mr. Allen: You have had five minutes.

Mr. Mahedy: I would ask for more time, because it has been one year.

Mr. Allen: Ask your friend Brian how much more time he wants to give you.

Mr. Charnick: Another five minutes.

Mr. Allen: Thank you, sir. You have that, Mr. Mahedy? Another five minutes.

Mr. Mahedy: If I could just finish up my questions and then folks could answer the questions, please.

I am also concerned about the police who patrol the grounds here. They have never been told where the contaminated sites are. And if they get out of their car and walk around, they go home and bring it to their children, I am concerned about that. The State Police were very upset that their barracks were never tested even after there was flooding and it went all around in their barracks and it brought things right over the dumps onto that. And the RAB or FMERA never tested it. Never tested the water, never tested the air, never tested the soil around the barracks and that is wrong, because I think we should treat them better especially if they have small children.

As far as the NFA: I think the NJDEP is under a lot of pressure to pass things, and if you just look up in North Jersey what happened with the site up there up by Ramapo, there is a lot of things that had been clearly swept under the rug and there is a lot of contaminated water there. And we

have to stop saying the word "landfill," because there are no liners in the dumps. So it is clear that they are all dumps and we should use that terminology.

And I would disagree with John as far as that they have done their best as far as environmental cleanup on this base. They are all fine people, but I think everybody is under a tremendous amount of pressure to cover things up.

And I am very concerned about the Myer's Center, too, because you should speak to Joe, who was on this committee at one point, and he said there is a tremendous amount of contamination right in the middle of the Myer's Center that that will never be cleaned up.

And as far as FMERA, they are always talking about carve-outs. Carve-outs where there is a contaminated site, but it is going to be carved out, and I disagree with that because just because it is carved out does not mean that the contamination is going to stop there. So my main concern is the health and welfare of the people who will be walking on these grounds.

And I have said this in the past, it is a personal issue because a friend of mine died from contamination from a base and there was a development that was put up right by it, and she had three children under the age of five. So I know that things are not always cleaned up well, I know there is a lot of pressure to push things through, but we have to think about it.

Mr. Allen: You have one minute, sir.

Mr. Mahedy: I know that there is pressure to just say, well, let's go on. But we have to start by getting the website back up, we have to do a very thorough investigation about what happened, who was in charge, the chain of command.

John is here. He should be in charge of that investigation. If he cannot do it, you have to bring people from the outside who are not part of the Army. But it is really important to see what happened, because it is also a part of democracy. If the public is not involved and does not have the information, how can we call it a democracy? And why is the public not more involved?

Mr. Allen: Your time has elapsed, sir. This is a democracy. I can tell you that.

Mr. Mahedy: And I look forward to your answers.

Mr. Allen: With regard to the list of members, does anyone have any comments? And I am going to ask you to update that. And could you just identify members and if there is somebody not on here, could we contact them and ask them to come? There were people from two other municipalities.

Mr. Charnick took the action to update the list of RAB Members. Following the meeting this action was transferred to Mr. Colvin who plans to follow-up with the RAB Members individually to encourage their participation in future RAB meetings.

Mr. Allen asked if we have a date for the next meeting. Mr. Barricelli said in the fall of each year, the RAB would discuss the next year's meetings and decide on tentative dates which would be confirmed at the next RAB meeting. The scheduling of future RAB Meetings will be an addenda item for the next meeting.

Mr. Talerico: I appreciate you taking me out of order. My name is Anthony Talerico, Jr. I am a councilman in Eatontown. And legally, I have no authority to represent the borough, I am just here on my own behalf.

Mr. Talerico: Just not on behalf of the borough. Quick question, though. Just procedurally, if the board has not met in a long period of time and the board is not taking actions as a board, may I ask a devil's advocate question then what is the purpose of the board?

Mr. Talerico: The second question would be if I attend FMERA meetings and I hear comments sounding like they received NFA commentary from the RAB and properties are ready to be transferred. If I am a member of the public and there is no board meeting in the last year, how does final action get transferred over? If I am mistaken, as a person who regularly attends FMERA meeting would you mind explaining how that would help FMERA get its final answers from the Army's side? And I am not trying to be adversarial, I am just curious for the record what that answer is.

Mr. Colvin: Well, we go through this process of having NFA requests based on the information that was collected.

Mr. Talerico: In other words, I guess what I am saying is: Does this board vote and say, Yes, we agree, or the Army says it?

Mr. Gruskos: The NJDEP.

Mr. Allen: One at a time. Answer him one at a time. Go ahead.

Mr. Gruskos: Do you want to answer that?

Ms. Range: NFA designations comes from the NJDEP.

Mr. Talerico: Okay. Then that solves that problem. Okay. I thought it was the majority of the board agreed to a report finding. And not having a majority, you have no report finding. But that solves that problem. Thank you.

Mr. Charnick: I think we owe it to Mr. Mahedy to at least try to discuss what he brought up and talk about it a little bit.

He mentioned the Trinity School and the area around the gas station in Tinton Falls off of Hope Road. I know there has been an issue with commercial and residential environmental standards. I guess unless it is planned to be residential then the residential standards are stricter. And those standards there by the gas station, because it is not going to be residential, are commercial standards, which allow more contaminants. I know that in the Evans area in Wall Township, there was pressure to try to have some of the areas cleaned up to residential standards even though at this current time we did not know if was going to be used as residential, so that there would have been a greater effort to remove some of the contamination.

So I guess my question is: Is the area around the gas station and the clean up where the schools are (as Mr. Mahedy pointed out), still to the higher level of commercial standards allowing more contamination, or is the level NJDEP using the lower residential standard? Because people would be living there, 24 hours a day absorbing more of the contaminants rather than casually going to school there for four, five hours a day.

Ms. Range: Okay. Now, I do not know which clean-up criteria the goal is. Anyhow, the groundwater there is still slightly contaminated with TBA. That should get cleaned up hopefully very shortly. There is no difference in criteria there between residential and nonresidential. The clean-up number is the clean-up number for groundwater.

As far as soils, there is still a slight issue with soils there. There is going to be some sampling of the soils performed out there. I am not sure if that was done yet or not. When I see those results, then I will know what level of contamination remains out there. And I do not know which criteria the goal is out there. Either way, there is not going to be any danger to any residents anywhere in the area.

Mr. Charnick: But you do not know now if it is not going to be used residential, right?

Ms. Range: I do not know what the use is.

Mr. Gruskos: I think the intended purchaser for the gas station there on Hope Road is actually going to be the county, and they were going to be using that as a staging area for county vehicles. So part of the issues on what sort of a level to apply depends on that master plan that was developed for the Fort and if that going to be designated a residential area or not.

Mr. Gruskos: I know my own company website has been hacked. All the organizational websites that I participate in, they have all been hacked at one point or another just for no good reason. And the main thing is that the restoration process following a site going down is affective so there is no loss of information, you know.

Mr. Colvin: Yes. I will follow up with Tom's question.

Mr. Charnick: I think what Tom was trying to say is there may have been a malicious intent with someone that has an interest in the environmental condition to maybe...

Mr. Gruskos: to hide evidence

Mr. Charnick: Yeah, something like that. Not just a random hacker.

Mr. Mahedy: I also don't want to forget the Veterans who are looking at a site that is more commercial, and it is actually right by a landfill or a dump. And there is talk in FMERA to create another dump there. So I am very concerned that the Veterans are not being treated well as far as picking that site, which is very close to the Myer's Center as well.

Ms. Range: Tom, they are not creating another landfill. And when I say "landfill it does not mean that it is lined. It is land that was filled. That is an existing area where trash was dumped. It is already an existing landfill. So they are not creating another landfill. We do know about the area. I know the area you are referring to. I walked it. So we are very aware of it, Parsons and the Army's are aware of it. It is not going to be a newly created landfill where the Veterans are going to be housed.

Two other things. To talk about the carve-outs a little bit more. I know you are not happy with the term, but you should get a comfort level that these are very specifically outlined and already delineated areas, meaning we know the extent of the contamination. Everyone is very aware of

how far the contamination in these areas extend horizontally and vertically, so the outline is very well defined. So there should be no worry that it has traveled beyond that designated area. So there should not be any concerns that something's being missed.

And as far as me being pressured to close out anything or to close my eyes to anything, I have never once been pressured by anyone. No obliquely. Not overtly. Nothing. And if I was, I would not let it get to me anyway, because that is just not how I work.

Mr. Mahedy: Could we just have some sort of action as far as who is going to follow up with what happened with the website?

Mr. Colvin: I will be doing that.

Mr. Allen: Any other business for the committee to discuss at this meeting? If not, I'll entertain a motion, and the motion should go to adjourn.

- Mr. Charnick makes the motion
- Mr. Barricelli seconds the motion

At 8:30 p.m. the meeting was adjourned by Mr. Allen.

NOTE: Following the meeting, Mr. Colvin confirmed that the hacking incident referred to at this meeting had no impact on the Fort Monmouth Website and no impact on the Fort Monmouth Environmental Database.

These meeting minutes were prepared by W. Colvin, based on the meeting notes taken by C. Grill of Parsons on behalf of the RAB.